Program: <u>Elementary BSED</u>

Data from most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ		100%	0%	0%
Assessment 2	HQ		100%	0%	0%
			97%	3%	0%
Assessment 3	HQ, DS	1, 3, 4	70%	30%	
Assessment 4	HQ, DS, CP, CT	1, 3, 4	94%	6%	0%
Assessment 5	HQ, DS, CP, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	96%	4%	0%
Assessment 6	HQ, DS, CP, CT	1, 3, 4, 5	95%	4%	0%

Program: <u>Secondary English/Language Arts BSED</u>

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ	N/A	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 2	HQ, DS,	1, 2, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 3	HQ, DS	3, 4	75%	25%	0%
Assessment 4	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	25% - 88%	12% - 75%	0%
Assessment 5	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	45% - 46% 93% - 45% 93%	7% - 54%	0%
Assessment 6	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	13% - 87%	13%-94%	0%
Rating 1: Rating 2:			13%-63%	31-%-81%	0%
Assessment 7	HQ, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	63% - 88%	12% - 37%	0%

Program: <u>Secondary Mathematics BSED</u>

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ	0, 4	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 2	HQ, DS	0, 4	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 3	HQ, DS	4, 3	15% -17%	2% <mark> – 17</mark> %	0% -17%
Assessment 4	HQ, CP, DS, TS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	88%-24%	12%-76%	0% -17%
Assessment 5 Pre Post Task	HQ, CP, DS, TS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	0%	100%	0%
Assessment 6	HQ, DS, CP, TS	2, 3, 5	16% - 17%	1% - 17%	0%
Assessment 7	HQ, TS	3, 4	5/5 or 1%(pt.1) 3/5 on pt.2	0% 2/5 on pt. 2	0%

Program: <u>Secondary Science BSED</u>

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ		10%	90%	0%
Assessment 2 GPA	HQ, DS		100%	0%	0%
Assessment 3 Unit Plan	HQ	3, 4	75%	25%	0%
Assessment 4 Student Task	HQ, TS, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	75%	25%	0%
Assessment 5 Pretest Efficiency	HQ, CP, TS, CT	1, 4, 5	0%	100%	0%
Assessment 6 Self	HQ, DP		100%	0%	0%
Assessment 7 Research	HQ		100%	0%	0%
Assessment 8 Case Study	HQ		100%	0%	0%

Program: <u>Secondary Social Studies BSED</u>

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ		0%	100%	0%
Praxis					
Assessment 2	DS, HQ	1, 2, 3, 5	0%	100%	0%
GPA					
Assessment 3	HQ, DS	3, 4	50%	50%	0%
Unit Plan					
Assessment 4	HQ, CP, DS, TS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,	75%	25%	0%
Student					
Assessment 5	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,	50%	50%	0%
Assessment 6	HQ, DS, CP, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	50%	50%	0%

Program: Special Education BSED

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ, CT		100%	0%	0%
Assessment 2	HQ, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	50% - 100%	0% - 50%	0% -17%
Assessment 3	HQ, CT, DS	1, 4	50% -100%	0% - 50%	0%
Assessment 4	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 3, 4	0% - 100%	0% - 100%	0% -100%
Assessment 5	HQ, DS, CT	4	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 6	HQ, DS	4	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 7	HQ, CT,	3	50% - 100%	50% - 100%	0%
Assessment 8	HQ, DS	1, 4	100%	0%	0%

2- 6 candidates in each assessment

Program: T2T (Transition to Teaching – Post-Bac Alternative-Track)

Data for 2011-12 or most recent Program Review Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual	Diversity	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	Framework	Proficiencies			
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	48.8% - 60%	24% -19%	12% -17%
DP1			Admitted	Conditional	Not Admitted
				Admittance	
Assessment 2	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	92.9% - 100%	0%	07.1%
Planning					
Assessment 3	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	100%	0%	0%
DP3					
Assessment 4	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	90% -91%	0% - 10%	0% -9%
Impact on					
Learning					

Planning is embedded in DP III; Impact on Student Learning is embedded in DP III

Program: MEST (MSED with concentration in Elementary or Secondary)

Data for 2011-12 or most recent Decision Point II and III Data

Assessment	Conceptual	Diversity	Proficient	Basic	Unacceptable
	Framework	Proficiencies	Ranges	Ranges	Ranges
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)			
			%	%	%
Decision Point	HQ	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	H520 45% to	H520 2% to	H520 0% to
II on first	СР		98%	27%	7%
assessment;	DS		P510 93% to	P510 0% to	P510 0%
must be at	СТ		100%	7%	
Proficient or			P507 51% to	P507 0% to	P507 0%
Basic on all			100%	49%	
cells to enroll					
in research					
course.					
Decision Point	HQ	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	21.1% to	10.9% to	.08 % to
III on first	CP		86.7%	38.3%	47.7%
assessment;	DS				
must be at	CT				
Proficient or					
Basic on all					
cells to					

complete the			
program.			

MEST has aligned the elements in the 3 DPII papers and the DPIII Teacher as Researcher paper to the CF and to the Diversity Proficiencies. Data review provides the following evidence:

DPII

- H520. There were no significant program concerns.
- P510. There were no significant program concerns.
- P507. There were no significant program concerns. Further attention will be paid to the ratings on "current dispositions" and "growth in dispositions" as these may be triangulated with other alumni and employer survey results related to candidates' abilities in data analysis.

DPIII

The two elements with 32% and 47.7% do not assess the same CF themes (HQ, CP CT) or
Diversity Proficiency (2). Those themes and proficiencies are assessed as proficient or basic in
other elements. The ratings do identify areas of concern for curriculum which have been
addressed by adding the research course.

Program: Counseling (MSED in School Counseling)

Data for 2011-12 or most recent Program Review Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1 Skills Audit	HQ, CP,DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	97%	3%	0%
Assessment 2 Multi-Cultural Reflection	HQ, CP	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	62%	32%	5%
Assessment 3 Handbook	HQ, CP	3, 4	97%	3%	0%
Assessment 4 Individual Tape	HQ, CP,DS, CT	2, 4	97%	3%	0%
Assessment 5 Close the Gap Project	HQ, DS, CT	2, 3, 4, 5	100%	0%	0%

Program: Reading Education (Graduate-level additional license)

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ, CT,		58.9% - 100%	29% - 41%	0%
Assessment 2	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4	15.8% - 100%	0% - 84.2%	0% - 15.8%
Assessment 3	HQ, CP, DS, CT	2, 4, 5	0% - 100%	0% - 100%	0%
Assessment 4	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	50% - 100%	0% - 50%	0%
Assessment 5	HQ, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	50% - 100%	0% - 50%	0%
Assessment 6	HQ, DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 7	HQ, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	20% -100%	0% - 80%	0% - 20%
Assessment 8	HQ, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	0% -100%	0% - 100%	0% -6%

Program: Gifted and Talented Education (Graduate-level additional license)

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ, CP, CT, DS	1, 2, 3, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 2	HQ, CP, CT, DS	2, 3, 4, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 3	HQ, CT, DS	3, 4	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 4	CP, HQ, CT, DS	1, 2, 3, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 5	HQ, CT, CP, DS	1, 2, 3, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 6	HQ, CP	4, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 7	HQ, CP, CT, DS	2, 3, 4, 5	100%	0%	0%

Assessment 8	HQ, CP, CT, DS	1, 2, 3 ,4, 5	100%	0%	0%

Program: <u>Technology</u> (Computer Education—Graduate-level additional license)

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual	Diversity	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	Framework (HQ, CP,DS, CT)	Proficiencies (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ	N/A	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 2 Grades	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	100%	0%	0%
Assessment 3 Tech Design In- Service	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	81%	11%	7%
Assessment 4 Curriculum Project	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	80%	20%	0%
Assessment 5 Curriculum Project	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	9%	5%	4%
Assessment 6 Classroom Application Overview	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	9.87%	3%	1%
Assessment 7	HQ, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	96%	3%	7%

Program: Educational Leadership (Building Level K-12 Administrator)

Data for 2011-12 or most recent SPA Data submitted

Assessment	Conceptual Framework	Diversity Proficiencies	Proficient	Basic	<u>U</u> nacceptable
	(HQ, CP,DS, CT)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	%	%	%
Assessment 1	HQ	None	86%	N/A	14%
Assessment 2	HQ, CP, DS	1, 2, 3	87% -100% of courses	0% -13% of courses	0% -7 of courses
Assessment 3	HQ, CP, DS, CT	4	52% - 100% all	0% - 48% all	0% all
Assessment 4	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	91% - 100%	0% -9%	0
Assessment 5	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	58% - 100%	0% - 37%	0% – 5%

Assessment 6	HQ, CP, DS, CT	1, 2, 3, 4,	70% - 100%	0% - 25%	0
Assessment 7	HQ, CP, DS, CT	3, 4,	74% - 100%	0% - 26%	0
Assessment 8	HQ, CP, CT	1, 2, 3	73% - 100%	0% - 27%	

Due to assessment 2, added H520

Not enough in 5 added to revision

Assessment 8 now part of 3