2018 EPP Annual Report

CAEP ID:	11471	AACTE SID:	1603
Institution:	Indiana University Southeast		
Unit:	School of Education		

## **Section 1. AIMS Profile**

After reviewing and/or updating the Educator Preparation Provider's (EPP's) profile in AIMS, check the box to indicate that the information available is accurate.

1.1 In AIMS, the following information is current and accurate...

	Agree	Disagree	
1.1.1 Contact person	<b>©</b>	0	
1.1.2 EPP characteristics	<ul><li>•</li></ul>		
1.1.3 Program listings	<b>(</b>		

# **Section 2. Program Completers**

2.1 How many candidates completed programs that prepared them to work in preschool through grade 12 settings during Academic Year 2016-2017?

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

2.1.1 Number of completers in programs leading to $\underline{\text{initial}}$ teacher certification or licensure $\!\!^{1}$	84
2.1.2 Number of completers in <u>advanced</u> programs or programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools (Do not include those completers counted above.) <sup>2</sup>	58
Total number of program completers	142

# **Section 3. Substantive Changes**

Have any of the following substantive changes occurred at your educator preparation provider or institution/organization during the 2016-2017 academic year?

- 3.1 Changes in the established mission or objectives of the institution/organization or the EPP
  - No Change / Not Applicable
- 3.2 Any change in the legal status, form of control, or ownership of the EPP.

No Change / Not Applicable

3.3 The addition of programs of study at a degree or credential level different from those that were offered when most recently accredited

## Advance to Teaching has been added to the school of Education as of Summer 2017.

3.4 The addition of courses or programs that represent a significant departure, in terms of either content or delivery, from those that were offered when most recently accredited

No Change / Not Applicable

3.5 A contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach-out agreements

No Change / Not Applicable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a description of the scope for Initial-Licensure Programs, see Policy 3.01 in the Accreditation Policy Manual

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  For a description of the scope for Advanced-Level Programs, see Policy 3.02 in the Accreditation Policy Manual

Any change that means the EPP no longer satisfies accreditation standards or requirements:

3.6 Change in regional accreditation status

No Change / Not Applicable

3.7 Change in state program approval

No Change / Not Applicable

# **Section 4. Display of Annual Reporting Measures.**

<u> </u>							
Annual Reporting Measures (CAEP Component 5.4   A.5.4)							
Impact Measures (CAEP Standard 4)	Outcome Measures						
1. Impact on P-12 learning and development (Component 4.1)	5. Graduation Rates (initial & advanced levels)						
2. Indicators of teaching effectiveness (Component 4.2)	6. Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements; Title II (initial & advanced levels)						
3. Satisfaction of employers and employment milestones (Component 4.3   A.4.1)	7. Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared (initial & advanced levels)						
4. Satisfaction of completers (Component 4.4   A.4.2)	8. Student loan default rates and other consumer information (initial & advanced levels)						

4.1 Provide a link or links that demonstrate data relevant to each of the Annual Reporting Measures are public-friendly

nd prominently displayed on the educator preparation provider's website.  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/title-2-reports/title-ii-r		2017-	2018-	traditio	anal n	df	
Description of data accessible via link: Title II Institutional report.	ероп-	2017-	2010-	IIaulii	Jilai.pi	ui	
Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure nur		ropria	ite pre	eparat	tion le	vel(s)	(initial
Level \ Annual Reporting Measure	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7. 8.
Initial-Licensure Programs						~	
Advanced-Level Programs						~	
2							
Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/about-us/caep.php							
,							
Description of data accessible via link:  Undergraduate and Graduate Degree Completers  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the		ropria	ite pre	eparat	tion le	vel(s)	(initial
Description of data accessible via link:  Undergraduate and Graduate Degree Completers  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure number of the second	nber.	·	·	•			
Description of data accessible via link:  Undergraduate and Graduate Degree Completers  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the		ropria	te pre	eparat	5.	evel(s)	(initial 7. 8.
Description of data accessible via link:  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure number of Level \ Annual Reporting Measure	nber.	·	·	•	5.		
Description of data accessible via link:  Undergraduate and Graduate Degree Completers  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure number of Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs	nber.	·	·	•	5.		
Description of data accessible via link:  Undergraduate and Graduate Degree Completers  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure nure Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs	nber.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7. 8.
Description of data accessible via link:  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure numbers.  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/about-us/caep.php  Description of data CAEP Annual Impact Measures 1 and 2: Teacher Effectives.	nber.  1.  venes  e app	2.	3.	4.	5.	6. D	7. 8

Initial-Licensure Programs								
Advanced-Level Programs								
Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/employer-suvey/2	017-empl	lover-s	SHINAN	/-me-r	renort	t ndf		
,		-	_	y-1115-1	ероп	.pui		
Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 3: Employer Survey M	lasters Le	evel 20	)17					
Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to	the app	roprio	to pro	narat	tion l	ovolíc	) (init	ial
and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure		ГОРПа	te pre	грага:	LIOITIE	evei(s	) (IIIII	
Level \ Annual Reporting Measure	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Initial-Licensure Programs								
Advanced-Level Programs			<b>V</b>					
	047					16		
Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/employer-suvey/2	•	_			ероп.	.рат		
Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 3: Employer Survey U	ndergrad	luate L	_evel :	2017				
Tow the Approach Depositing Management (a) represented in the link above to	the comm		+		ا مما	مالم	) (imit	ial
Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure	rine appi number.	горпа	te pre	ерага	tion ie	evei(s	i) (IIIII	ıaı
Level \ Annual Reporting Measure	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Initial-Licensure Programs			<b>V</b>					
Advanced-Level Programs								
Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/201			-		f-educ	cation	.pdf	
Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/201  Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Edu			-		f-educ	cation	.pdf	
Description of data CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Educacessible via link:	ıcation Al	umni S	Surve	у				
Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to	the app	umni S	Surve	у				ial
Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Edu	the app	umni S	Surve	у				ial
Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure	the appronumber.	umni (	Surve	<b>y</b> eparat	tion le	evel(s	) (init	
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education and Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Educ	the appronumber.	umni (	Surve	y eparat	tion le	evel(s	) (init	
Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Educate Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure Level \ Annual Reporting Measure	the appronumber.	umni (	Surve	eparat	tion le	evel(s	) (init	
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education and Annual Reporting Measure (s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs	the apprinumber.	ropria  2.	te pre	y eparat	5.	evel(s	) (init	
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Annual Reporting Measure (s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/201	the appropriate the second sec	ropria  2.  i-surve	te pre	y eparat 4. V ecial-e	5.	evel(s	) (init	
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education and Appendix Programs  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs	the appropriate the second sec	ropria  2.  i-surve	te pre	y eparat 4. V ecial-e	5.	evel(s	) (init	
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education of Education of Initial School of Education of Education of Education of Education of Initial School of Education of Education of Initial School of Initial S	the appropriate the street of	ropria  2. i-surve	te pre  3.	y eparat 4. V ecial-e	5.	evel(s	7.	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education	the appropriate the appropriat	ropria  2. i-surve	te pre  3.	y eparat 4. V ecial-e	5.	evel(s	7.	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Annual Reporting Measure (s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/2010  Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 Alumni Survey  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure	the apprinumber.  1. 6-alumnity Special the apprinumber.	ropria  2. i-surve	te pre  3.	y eparat 4. V ecial-e	5.	evel(s	7.	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education	the appropriate the appropriat	ropria  2. i-surve Educa	te pre	y  eparate  4.  cial-e  BS  eparate  4.	5.	evel(s	7.	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Annual Reporting Measure (s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/2010  Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 Alumni Survey  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure (s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs	the apprinumber.  1. 6-alumnity Special the apprinumber.	ropria  2. i-surve Educa	te pre	y  eparat  4.  v  ecial-e	5.	evel(s	7.	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education	the apprinumber.  1. 6-alumnity Special the apprinumber.	ropria  2. i-surve Educa	te pre	y  eparate  4.  cial-e  BS  eparate  4.	5.	evel(s	7.	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Annual Reporting Measure (s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/2010  Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 Alumni Survey  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs	the apprinumber.  1. 6-alumnity Special the apprinumber.	ropria  2. i-surve Educa	te pre	y  eparate  4.  cial-e  BS  eparate  4.	5.	evel(s	7.	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education Annual Reporting Measure (s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/2010  Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 Alumni Survey  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs	the apprinumber.  1.  16-alumni y Special  the apprinumber.  1.	ropria  2. i-surve Educa ropria	te pre  3.  Ey-spe ation  te pre  3.	eparate 4.	tion le	evel(s	(init) (i	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/201  Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 Alumni Survey  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/201	the apprince of the apprince o	ropria  2. i-surve Educa ropria  2. i-surve	te presented as a service of the pre	y eparate 4. ecial-e BS eparate 4. v conda	tion le	evel(s	(init) (i	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 School of Education	the apprince of the apprince o	ropria  2. i-surve Educa ropria  2. i-surve	te presented as a service of the pre	y eparate 4. ecial-e BS eparate 4. v conda	tion le	evel(s	(init) (i	8.
Description of data accessible via link:  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/201  Description of data accessible via link:  CAEP Annual Impact Measure 4: 2016 Alumni Survey  Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure  Level \ Annual Reporting Measure  Initial-Licensure Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Advanced-Level Programs  Link: https://www.ius.edu/education/files/alumni-survey/201	the apprinumber.  1.  16-alumni number.  1.  16-alumni number.  1.  16-alumni number.  1.  16-alumni number.	i-surve	te presented as a server serve	ecial-e BS  eparate  4.  conda on MS	tion le	evel(s	(init) (i	8.

	Level \ Annual Reporting Measure	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Initial-Licensure Programs								
	Advanced-Level Programs				V				>
7	Link: https://nslds.ed.gov/nslds/nslds_SA/defaultmanagement  Description of data accessible via link: CAEP Annual Outcome Measure 8: Official Cohort Defaultmanagement	ult Ra	te Sea	ırch				4	
	Tag the Annual Reporting Measure(s) represented in the link above to the and/or advanced, as offered by the EPP) and corresponding measure nur		ropria	ite pre	epara	tion le	evel(s)	(initi	al 
	Level \ Annual Reporting Measure	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Initial-Licensure Programs								<b>Y</b>
	Advanced-Level Programs			1200	200	200	2000		V

4.2 Summarize data and trends from the data linked above, reflecting on the prompts below.

What has the provider learned from reviewing its Annual Reporting Measures over the past three years?

Discuss any emerging, long-term, expected, or unexpected trends? Discuss any programmatic/provider-wide changes being planned as a result of these data? Are benchmarks available for comparison?

Are measures widely shared? How? With whom?

#### Continuous Improvement:

The EPP has been reviewing the data on annual reporting measures over the past two years. Results of the Alumni (completer) surveys: the University has been able to increase participation in survey from 3.1% in 2015 to 15.7% in 2016. All questions regarding education at Indiana University Southeast (IUS) received high marks from survey respondents, and disagreement was low. While there were 8 comments from the 2015 survey, in 2016, we received nine pages of comments – mostly positive. These surveys are distributed at one year, two years, and three years after graduation. Our graduating teachers were rated as highly effective. They rated their IUS experience and their preparedness as high. The concerns of the survey were: classroom management, communication, assessment strategies, and community engagement. Program teams are working on addressing these concerns and will be making changes to the courses taught in these areas.

Besides alumni surveys, focus groups and case studies are also used for feedback. Employer surveys and state administered teacher effectiveness survey data were shared with programs and stakeholders and actions discussed. As a result, revisions has been made to the survey items and additional open ended questions have been added to the employer survey as to comply with CAEP standard 4. Purposeful measurement and analysis of candidate impact on P-12 student learning is ongoing. Pre- and post-test analysis of lesson delivery and impact is conducted by all candidates. Elementary and secondary candidates' work is scored and stored in task stream. The EPP will utilize focus groups and a completer case study, designed in Fall of 2017, with the case study beginning Fall of 2018, as additional methods to measure program impact.

The number of teachers achieving Effective or Highly Effective rating 2016 increased to 100%. This data is provided by the state from each school corporation that determine percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective. School corporations rate the teacher as Highly effective, Effective, Improvement Necessary and Ineffective. As determined by the state, in order for teachers to be rated as Effective or Highly Effective, they must show positive impact on student learning.

Data on candidate performance, teaching effectiveness, employers and alumni surveys, and licensure exam pass rate is shared with stakeholders (Council on Preparing Education Professionals - COPEP) on a quarterly basis. Program teams and the School of Education Council have identified ways to more fully involve stakeholders in their programs. P-12 Stakeholders have been invited to "Data Days" during which the aforementioned data points are discussed. Graduate Programs have transitioned to CAEP standards for Advanced programs after the standards were finalized in Fall of 2016.

## Section 5. Areas for Improvement, Weaknesses, and/or Stipulations

Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report.

NCATE: Areas for Improvement related to Standard 2 cited as a result of the last CAEP review:

Strategic campus supported actions assist the EPP in addressing the Area of Improvement (AFI). The Office of Institutional Effectiveness (OIE) now has the responsibility to collect and store data and provide aggregated unit data reports. Task stream was purchased and adopted in Spring 2016 and training is provided to faculty, staff and administrators. Beginning Fall of 2016, the SOE has utilized Task stream as an part of the assessment system. In addition, the EPP uses another system called "the box" to collect and store data and place evidence for all assessments and CAEP Accreditation.

Indiana University Southeast School of education is organized into committees around the five CAEP standards. These committees are called the Quality teams (QT). Quality teams four and five monitor the implementation of CAEP Standards Four and Five and ensure the EPP have a consistent system for data collection and maintain quality assurance. All Direct Responses Folios have been created and finalized in Fall of 2016, and the data have been entered on a regular basis. All data reports are being shared with program faculty, and reflection for programmatic change requested, twice a year through "Data Days".

## **Section 6. Continuous Improvement**

CAEP Standard 5

The provider maintains a quality assurance system comprised of valid data from multiple measures, including evidence of candidates' and completers' positive impact on P-12 student learning and development. The provider supports continuous improvement that is sustained and evidence-based, and that evaluates the effectiveness of its completers. The provider uses the results of inquiry and data collection to establish priorities, enhance program elements and capacity, and test innovations to improve completers' impact on P-12 student learning and development.

CAEP Standard 5, Component 5.3

The provider regularly and systematically assesses performance against its goals and relevant standards, tracks results over time, tests innovations and the effects of selection criteria on subsequent progress and completion, and uses results to improve program elements and processes.

6.1 Summarize any data-driven EPP-wide or programmatic modifications, innovations, or changes planned, worked on, or completed in the last academic year. This is an opportunity to share targeted continuous improvement efforts your EPP is proud of. Focus on one to three major efforts the EPP made and the relationship among data examined, changes, and studying the results of those changes.

- Describe how the EPP regularly and systematically assessed its performance against its goals or the CAEP standards.
- What innovations or changes did the EPP implement as a result of that review?
- How are progress and results tracked? How will the EPP know the degree to which changes are improvements?

The following questions were created from the March 2016 handbook for initial-level programs sufficiency criteria for standard 5, component 5.3 and may be helpful in cataloguing continuous improvement.

- What quality assurance system data did the provider review?
- What patterns across preparation programs (both strengths and weaknesses) did the provider identify?
- How did the provider use data/evidence for continuous improvement?
- How did the provider test innovations?
- What specific examples show that changes and program modifications can be linked back to evidence/data?
- How did the provider document explicit investigation of selection criteria used for Standard 3 in relation to candidate progress and completion?
- How did the provider document that data-driven changes are ongoing and based on systematic assessment of
  performance, and/or that innovations result in overall positive trends of improvement for EPPs, their candidates,
  and P-12 students?

The following thoughts are derived from the September 2017 handbook for advanced-level programs How was stakeholders' feedback and input sought and incorporated into the evaluation, research, and decision-making activities?

EPP is still working to build the back side of the task stream(AMS) to tag all the components of CAEP standards. EPP has been reviewing data on Employer and Alumni surveys for the past two years. Surveys have been revised from the feedback from stake holders and faculty. As a unit, we are in the process of making changes to classroom management courses and how we can address the concerns from the alumni and employer's feedback. We will have more data which we can share in the 2019 Annual CAEP report.

Tag the standard(s) or component(s) to which the data or changes apply.

- 1.1 Understanding of InTASC Standards
- 1.3 Application of content and pedagogical knowledge
- 1.4 All P-12 students afforded access to college- and career-ready standards.

- 2.1 Partners co-construct mutually beneficial P-12 partnerships
- 2.2 Partners co-select, prepare, evaluate, support, and retain high-quality clinical educators
- 2.3 Partners design high-quality clinical experiences
- 3.1 Recruits and supports high-quality and diverse candidate pool
- 3.2 Sets selective admission requirements
- 3.3 Monitors attributes and dispositions beyond academic ability
- 3.4 Creates and monitors candidate progress
- 3.5 Candidate positive impacts on P-12 students
- 3.6 Candidates understand the expectation of the profession
- 4.1 Completer impact on student growth and learning
- 4.2 Completer effectiveness via observations and/or student surveys
- 4.3 Employer satisfaction
- 4.4 Completer satisfaction
- 5.1 Effective quality assurance system that monitors progress using multiple measures
- 5.3 Results for continuous program improvement are used
- 5.4 Measures of completer impact are analyzed, shared and used in decision-making
- 5.5 Relevant stakeholders are involved in program evaluation
- A.1.1 Candidate Knowledge, Skills, and Professional Dispositions
- A.1.2 Professional Responsibilities
- A.2.1 Partnerships for Clinical Preparation
- A.2.2 Clinical Experiences
- A.3.1 Admission of Diverse Candidates who Meet Employment Needs
- A.3.2 Candidates Demonstrate Academic Achievement and Ability to Complete Preparation Successfully
- A.3.3 Selectivity during Preparation
- A.3.4 Selection at Completion
- A.4.1 Satisfaction of Employers
- A.4.2 Satisfaction of Completers
- A.5.3 Continuous Improvement
- A.5.4 Continuous Improvement
- x.4 Previous AFI / Weaknesses

Upload data results or documentation of data-driven changes.

6.2 Would the provider be willing to share highlights, new initiatives, assessments, research, scholarship, or service activities during a CAEP Conference or in other CAEP Communications?

Yes No

6.3 Optional Comments

Assessment coordinator and assessment research specialist have already submitted a proposal top present in the CAEPCON 2018 fall conference.

## **Section 7: Transition**

In the transition from legacy standards and principles to the CAEP standards, CAEP wishes to support a successful transition to CAEP Accreditation. The EPP Annual Report offers an opportunity for rigorous and thoughtful reflection regarding progress in demonstrating evidence toward CAEP Accreditation. To this end, CAEP asks for the following information so that CAEP can identify areas of priority in providing guidance to EPPs.

7.1 Assess and identify gaps (if any) in the EPP's evidence relating to the CAEP standards and the progress made on addressing those gaps. This is an opportunity to share the EPP's assessment of its evidence. It may help to use the Readiness for Accreditation Self-Assessment Checklist, the CAEP Accreditation Handbook (for initial level programs), or the CAEP Handbook: Guidance on Self-Study Reports for Accreditation at the Advanced Level.

If there are no identified gaps, click the box next to "No identified gaps" and proceed to question 7.2.

No identified gaps

If there are identified gaps, please summarize the gaps and any steps planned or taken toward the gap(s) to be fully prepared by your CAEP site visit in the text box below and tag the standard or component to which the text applies.

EPP is still working on meeting 3.2, 4.1,4.2 and 5.2 standards.

Tag the standard(s) or component(s) to which the text applies.

- 3.2 Sets selective admission requirements
- 4.1 Completer impact on student growth and learning
- 4.2 Completer effectiveness via observations and/or student surveys

5.2 Quality assurance system relies on measures yielding reliable, valid, and actionable data.

7.2 I certify to the best of my knowledge that the EPP continues to meet legacy NCATE Standards or TEAC Quality Principles, as applicable.

Yes No

7.3 If no, please describe any changes that mean that the EPP does not continue to meet legacy NCATE Standards or TEAC Quality Principles, as applicable.

## **Section 8: Preparer's Authorization**

Preparer's authorization. By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2018 EPP Annual Report.

☑ I am authorized to complete this report.

Report Preparer's Information

Name: Rachel Star

Position: CAEP/SPA Coordinator

Phone: 812-941-2641

E-mail: rpstar@ius.edu

I understand that all the information that is provided to CAEP from EPPs seeking initial accreditation, continuing accreditation or having completed the accreditation process is considered the property of CAEP and may be used for training, research and data review. CAEP reserves the right to compile and issue data derived from accreditation documents.

**CAEP Accreditation Policy** 

Policy 6.01 Annual Report

An EPP must submit an Annual Report to maintain accreditation or accreditation-eligibility. The report is opened for data entry each year in January. EPPs are given 90 days from the date of system availability to complete the report.

CAEP is required to collect and apply the data from the Annual Report to:

- 1. Monitor whether the EPP continues to meet the CAEP Standards between site visits.
- 2. Review and analyze stipulations and any AFIs submitted with evidence that they were addressed.
- 3. Monitor reports of substantive changes.
- 4. Collect headcount completer data, including for distance learning programs.
- 5. Monitor how the EPP publicly reports candidate performance data and other consumer information on its website.

CAEP accreditation staff conduct annual analysis of AFIs and/or stipulations and the decisions of the Accreditation Council to assess consistency.

Failure to submit an Annual Report will result in referral to the Accreditation Council for review. Adverse action may result.

Policy 8.05 Misleading or Incorrect Statements

The EPP is responsible for the adequacy and accuracy of all information submitted by the EPP for accreditation purposes, including program reviews, self-study reports, formative feedback reports and addendums and site visit report responses, and information made available to prospective candidates and the public. In particular, information displayed by the EPP pertaining to its accreditation and Title II decision, term, consumer information, or candidate performance (e.g., standardized test results, job placement rates, and licensing examination rates) must be accurate and current.

When CAEP becomes aware that an accredited EPP has misrepresented any action taken by CAEP with respect to the EPP and/or its accreditation, or uses accreditation reports or materials in a false or misleading manner, the EPP will be contacted and directed to issue a corrective communication. Failure to correct misleading or inaccurate statements can lead to adverse

action.

Acknowledge